

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING D | ATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/938,328 | 08/23/2 | 001 | Chris Scotto | 426882000600 | 9977 |
| 29638 | 7590 | 02/21/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| BANNER & WITCOFF AND ATTORNEYS FOR ACCENTURE 10 S. WACKER DRIVE, 30TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606 | | | | NGUYEN, TAN D | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | | 3629 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|----------------------|
| Nation of Abandanmant | 09/938,328 | SCOTTO ET AL. | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Tan Dean D. Nguyen | 3629 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | dress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Months period for reply (including a total extension of time of | lailing or Transmission dated | | expiration of the |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does it | not constitute a proper reply under 37 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to 1 | the final rejection. |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) | | the statutory period | of three months |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | - | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | he publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | • |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | |
| Description Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requestion Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | eriod set in, the No | tice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assi | gnee of the entire i | nterest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a represe | entative capacity ur | nder 37 CFR |
| 5. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clain | | e the period for see | king court review |
| ['] . ☐ The reason(s) below: | | | |
| On 2/15/06, Attorney Steve C. informed the examine | er that no response has been filed | d as shown above |) . |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | | Tan Dean D. Ng Primary Examine Art Unit: 3629 CFR 1.181, should be | _ |
| ninimize any negative effects on patent term. | | | Franchis maa sa |